

**The following UNTRUTHFUL info is set forth in paragraph 34 of the Ohio Supreme Court decision which wrongly suspends me from the practice of law:**

“Respondent (Squire) falsely stated...” the following: 1) that I ordered the children to be present in court 2) that I had heard extensively from Mr. Camburn, and that I had heard from Ms. Lantz on behalf of Mr. Camburn on multiple occasions and 3) a call I made to the maternal grandparent was by agreement of Mrs. Lantz and her client (see pg 10 of enclosed slip opinion for full text of paragraph 34. See the truth, set forth in Exhs. A-J enclosed.

**THE TRUTH AND THE**

**Evidence which refutes paragraph 34 of the slip opinion is as follows:**

As to 1) above - see pg 650 (enclosed Exhibit A pgs 649-651) of transcript from my disciplinary hearing. Lantz is testifying concerning her client's notes made contemporaneously with my verbal order to produce the children during the proceeding. Even her client's notes reflect the truth that I ORDERED THE CHILDREN TO BE PRESENT. Also, see eyewitness accounts (Exhibits B-E, eyewitness accounts of bailiff Mingo, Joseph Caparrotti, Dianna Caparrotti and Sarah I truthfully testified at my hearing that I ordered Ms. Lantz more than once to produce the children in court.

As to 2) above – see enclosed Exhibit F ( pg. 31,32 which are pgs. from our objections to the findings of the three member panel; a panel which included Judge Thomas Bryant and a chef/friend of Judge Bryant's as two of three who presided at my disciplinary hearing. Also see Exhibit G/hearing testimony of Greg Camburn, Lantz' client admitting he spoke to me in the courtroom, thus impeaching himself and his attorney, both who claimed I never heard from them. See enclosed exhibit H(pg. 594) , Exhibit I(pgs.613 & 614) and Exhibit J ( pg 637) which is Attorney Lantz' clearly perjured testimony. TRUTH IS of the more than 115 cases I ended up handling the few days I heard from Ms. Lantz she got more time than other parties/attys in the majority of the cases. I simply did not agree with her handling of the matter and did not believe it was an emergency. I was handling cases more urgent than Lantz'. 3) above – see enclosed exhibit B, top of second page of Mingo's affidavit.

**As for refuting Paragraph 33 of opinion see Exhibits B-E** call 461-1390 for further info.